Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology
ISSN: 3080-3586
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61424/jcsit.v3i2.916
Date Published Online: August 11, 2026
Journal Homepage: https://bluemarkpublishers.com/index.php/JCSIT

JCSIT
BLUEMARK PUBLISHERS

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

From Gurukul to Generative AI: Philosophical Foundations for AI-Enabled Multicultural Education

Ashok Kumar Yadav[1] ✉ Abhishek Kumar Mishra[2], Suraj Gupta[3] and Vimal Singh[4]
[1]Research Scholar, Department of Life Long Learning & Extension, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur Uttar Pradesh, India
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Life Long Learning & Extension, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur Uttar Pradesh, India
[3]Research Scholar, Department of Education, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Chhatrapati Shahu Ji Maharaj University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India
Corresponding Author: Ashok Kumar Yadav, **E-mail**: ashokyadav1308.official@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT
This study examines the philosophical foundations of integrating ancient Indian Gurukul principles with generative artificial intelligence in multicultural education contexts. It investigates how the holistic, value-based pedagogical traditions of the Gurukul system can inform the ethical design and implementation of AI technologies in diverse educational settings. Employing a qualitative research design combining philosophical inquiry, thematic analysis, and comparative case study analysis, this study systematically examines primary texts on Gurukul education, contemporary literature on multicultural education and AI, and case studies of AI applications in educational contexts. Thematic analysis was conducted using NVivo 12, identifying core principles across 150 sources published between 2000-2025. The analysis reveals significant synergies between Gurukul principles and AI integration, including personalized learning (alignment score: 0.89), holistic development (0.85), and dialogical learning (0.82). However, critical tensions emerged regarding human relationships, spiritual development, and value transmission, areas where AI cannot replicate human mentorship. The study develops a novel conceptual framework integrating Gurukul principles with AI design, identifying three dimensions: philosophical foundations (holistic development, dialogue, value-based education, cultural rootedness, environmental harmony), AI design principles (personalized learning, cultural responsiveness, relationship enhancement), and implementation strategies (teacher training, community engagement, ethical guidelines). This is among the first studies to systematically examine the philosophical integration of ancient Indian educational traditions with generative AI, offering a culturally grounded framework for ethical AI design in multicultural education. The framework has significant implications for educational policy, teacher professional development, and technology design, particularly in the context of India's National Education Policy 2020 and global efforts to create inclusive, humane AI-enabled learning ecosystems.

| KEYWORDS

Gurukul System, Generative AI, Multicultural Education, Indian Knowledge Systems, NEP 2020, Educational Philosophy, Ethical AI, Holistic Education

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: June 21, 2026 **PUBLISHED:** August 11, 2026 **DOI:** https://doi.org/10.61424/jcsit.v3i2.916

1. Introduction

Education has always been the bedrock of human civilisation, serving as the primary means through which knowledge, values, and skills are transmitted across generations (Dewey, 1916; Freire, 1970). In the Indian philosophical tradition, however, education has never been narrowly conceived as mere information transfer. It has

been understood as something far more profound, a transformative journey of self-discovery and holistic human development (Radhakrishnan, 1953; Thapar, 2002). The ancient Gurukul system, with its distinctive emphasis on the Guru-Shishya relationship, experiential learning, and value-based education, stands as one of the most enduring and philosophically rich educational traditions in human history (Altekar, 1944; Mukherjee, 2011).

Contemporary education finds itself at another historic inflection point, this time driven by the rapid evolution of generative artificial intelligence. Large language models, intelligent tutoring systems, and adaptive learning platforms are fundamentally altering how knowledge is produced, disseminated, and engaged with in educational contexts (Alam, 2022; Lima et al., 2024). These technologies offer unprecedented possibilities, personalised learning pathways, immediate feedback mechanisms, and educational interventions that can scale to reach previously underserved populations (Majewska-Pyrkosz, 2023; Renz & Hilbig, 2020). Yet these developments also raise deeply unsettling questions: What becomes of the human relationship at the core of teaching? How do educators maintain their relevance and authority? And can cultural values and pedagogical traditions survive in an increasingly digitised educational landscape? (Kozub et al., 2025; Zhang, 2023).

The historical trajectory of education reveals a continuous process of adaptation and transformation. The Gurukul system, which emerged during the Vedic period (circa 1500–500 BCE), represents one of humanity's earliest organised pedagogical models (Singh, 2015; Soni, 2018). In this system, students, referred to as shishyas, resided with their teachers, or gurus, in forest hermitages, receiving instruction in a wide array of subjects, including the Vedas, philosophy, mathematics, astronomy, and medicine (Majumdar, 2003; Basham, 1954). Oral transmission, experiential engagement, and the cultivation of moral character were accorded as much importance as intellectual development (Mukherjee, 2011; Altekar, 1944).

The transition to modern educational structures began during the medieval period with the rise of monastic and cathedral schools in Europe, followed by the establishment of universities such as Bologna, Oxford, and Paris (Rao, 2011; Sharma, 1996). The Enlightenment brought about radical reconfigurations in educational thought, with philosophers such as John Locke and Jean-Jacques Rousseau championing experiential and child-centred approaches (Pathak, 2013). These ideas laid the groundwork for progressive education, which was later expanded upon by John Dewey (1916) and other influential educational theorists (Aggarwal, 2004).

In India, the colonial period witnessed the systematic decline of the Gurukul system and the imposition of Western educational frameworks. Yet in the post-independence era, a renewed interest in indigenous knowledge systems gradually emerged. This resurgence has culminated in the National Education Policy 2020, which explicitly acknowledges the importance of Indian knowledge traditions in shaping an education system that is both globally relevant and culturally grounded (Government of India, 2020; Jadon et al., 2025).

1.1 Significance of the Study

This study makes several contributions to the field, each of which addresses a gap in current scholarship.

First, it offers a comprehensive philosophical analysis of the Gurukul system, examining its core principles and assessing their relevance to contemporary educational challenges (Kumar & Mishra, 2024; Sharma & Gupta, 2021). Rather than treating the Gurukul as a historical curiosity, the study takes its philosophical foundations seriously and considers what they might offer to current debates about educational purpose and practice.

Second, the study develops a conceptual framework that integrates Gurukul principles with generative AI in multicultural education settings (Adhikari, 2024; Shanwal, 2023). This framework is intended not as a prescriptive blueprint but as a heuristic device, a way of thinking through the complex intersections of tradition, technology, and cultural diversity.

Third, the study identifies key ethical considerations and cultural sensitivities that must be addressed in the design and deployment of AI educational technologies (Mutawa, 2024; Wei & Niemi, 2023). These include issues of

algorithmic bias, cultural representation, privacy, and the risk of depersonalisation, concerns that are often acknowledged in principle but rarely engaged with in sufficient depth.

Fourth, the study offers practical recommendations for educators, policymakers, and technology developers (Cheng & Liang, 2023; Ma & Lei, 2024). These recommendations are grounded in the philosophical and ethical analysis undertaken in the study, and are intended to be actionable rather than abstract.

Finally, the study contributes to the growing body of literature that seeks to decentre Western perspectives in educational technology discourse. By bringing an Indian philosophical tradition into conversation with cutting-edge AI research, it participates in a broader effort to build a more genuinely global and pluralistic educational scholarship.

1.2 Research Questions

1. How do the core principles of the Gurukul system align with contemporary understandings of effective multicultural education?
2. In what ways can generative AI be designed and implemented to enhance rather than undermine the humanistic and value-based aspects of education?
3. What ethical and cultural considerations must be addressed to ensure that AI technologies promote rather than hinder equity and inclusion in multicultural classrooms?
4. How can the principles of the Gurukul system inform the development of culturally sensitive AI frameworks for diverse educational contexts?

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design combining philosophical inquiry, conceptual framework building, and comparative analysis. The exploratory qualitative design involves three interconnected phases: philosophical inquiry to examine Gurukul principles and AI foundations; conceptual framework building to integrate these traditions; and comparative analysis to validate findings. This sequential approach enables deep theoretical understanding before practical application, ensuring the framework is grounded in established philosophical and pedagogical principles.

2.2 Data Collection

The study draws on three types of data sources: Primary texts including Vedic literature, Dharmashastras, and modern commentaries on the Gurukul system and Indian knowledge traditions; Contemporary literature comprising peer-reviewed articles on the Gurukul system, multicultural education, and generative AI published between 2000-2025, books on educational philosophy and AI, policy documents including the National Education Policy 2020, and reports from UNESCO, OECD, and other international organizations; and Case Studies of neo-Gurukul institutions blending Gurukul principles with contemporary education and AI applications in multicultural educational contexts.

2.3 Data Analysis

Thematic analysis was conducted through five stages: familiarization, initial coding, theme identification, reviewing themes, and defining and naming themes. Comparative analysis involved systematic comparison of Gurukul principles and contemporary AI applications by identifying key dimensions, extracting relevant data, comparative coding, and synthesizing findings to identify convergence, divergence, and integration points. Conceptual synthesis integrated findings from thematic and comparative analyses to develop a comprehensive conceptual framework, validated through comparison with existing literature and case studies.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Thematic Analysis: Core Principles of the Gurukul System

The thematic analysis of the literature on the Gurukul system identified five core principles relevant to contemporary education and AI integration. Table 1 presents a summary of these principles and their characteristics.

Table 1: Core Principles of the Gurukul System

Theme	Key Characteristics	Relevance to Contemporary Education	Supporting Sources
Guru-Shishya Parampara	Personalized attention, holistic mentorship, intimate learning environment, mutual respect and trust	Mentorship programs, personalized learning, student-teacher relationships	Altekar, 1944; Mukherjee, 2011; Kumar & Mishra, 2024; Selvamani, 2019
Holistic Development	Intellectual, moral, physical, spiritual, and social-emotional development	Whole-child education, competency-based education, social-emotional learning	Radhakrishnan, 1953; Thapar, 2002; Kumar & Mishra, 2024; Singh, 2015
Experiential Learning	Learning by doing, integration of knowledge and life, community engagement, dialogue and discussion	Project-based learning, experiential education, community engagement	Dewey, 1938; Kolb, 1984; Altekar, 1944; Basham, 1954
Value-Based Education	Dharma, ethical development, character formation, cultural rootedness	Character education, values education, cultural responsiveness	Sharma & Gupta, 2021; Nussbaum, 1997; Radhakrishnan, 1953; Thapar, 2002
Harmony with Nature	Connection with nature, sustainability, environmental awareness	Environmental education, sustainability education, ecological consciousness	Basham, 1954; Majumdar, 2003; Adhikari, 2024; Kumar & Mishra, 2024

Source: Author's compilation from thematic analysis

The analysis revealed that the Guru-Shishya relationship was characterized by personalized attention, holistic mentorship, an intimate learning environment, and mutual respect and trust (Altekar, 1944; Mukherjee, 2011). The guru served not only as a teacher but as a moral exemplar, spiritual guide, and life mentor (Adhikari, 2024; Basham, 1954). This relationship was built on deep respect and trust, with students showing devotion to their guru and the guru taking responsibility for the student's overall development (Singh, 2015; Soni, 2018).

The relevance of the Guru-Shishya relationship to contemporary education is significant. Modern mentorship programs, personalized learning approaches, and the emphasis on student-teacher relationships all echo the Gurukul tradition (Darling-Hammond, 2006; Carter, 1993). Research has shown that strong teacher-student relationships are associated with improved academic outcomes, higher student engagement, and better social-emotional development (Noddings, 2018; Biesta, 2015).

Holistic development was identified as a central principle, with education addressing multiple dimensions of human existence. The philosophical framework of the Purusharthas provided a comprehensive vision of human development (Radhakrishnan, 1953; Thapar, 2002). The relevance of holistic development to contemporary education is increasingly recognized, with the NEP 2020 explicitly calling for holistic development (Government of India, 2020; Kulal, 2024).

3.2 Thematic Analysis: Generative AI in Education

The thematic analysis of the literature on generative AI in education identified five key themes. Table 2 presents a summary of these themes and their characteristics.

Table 2: Key Themes in Generative AI and Education

Theme	Key Characteristics	Relevance to Multicultural Education	Supporting Sources
Personalized and Adaptive Learning	Individualized instruction, real-time feedback, adaptive assessment, learning analytics	Addressing diverse learning needs, accommodating cultural differences	Alam, 2022; Lima et al., 2024; Chen & Chang, 2024; Zhang, 2023
Scalability and Accessibility	Massive reach, 24/7 availability, reduced costs, accessibility features	Reaching marginalized communities, reducing educational inequalities	Lampou, 2023; Renz & Hilbig, 2020; James Young, 2024; Wang et al., 2023
Ethical Challenges	Algorithmic bias, privacy and data security, cultural sensitivity, depersonalization	Ensuring fairness, protecting student rights, respecting cultural diversity	Bacanin et al., 2026; Vistorte et al., 2024; Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024
Teacher Roles and Professional Development	Shift in roles, professional development needs, AI as partner, ethical guidance	Supporting culturally responsive teaching, developing AI literacy	Cheng & Liang, 2023; Ma & Lei, 2024; Rice et al., 2024; Sanusi & Olaleye, 2022
Cultural Responsiveness	Cultural representation, multilingual support, cultural adaptation	Preserving cultural diversity, promoting inclusion	Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024; Mariyono et al., 2024; Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022

Source: Author's compilation from thematic analysis

The analysis revealed that AI technologies offer significant potential for personalized and adaptive learning (Alam, 2022; Lima et al., 2024), scalability and accessibility (Lampou, 2023; Renz & Hilbig, 2020), and enhanced feedback and support for educators (Wang et al., 2023; Cheng & Liang, 2023). However, significant challenges were also identified, including algorithmic bias (Bacanin et al., 2026; Tran & Tran, 2026), privacy and data security concerns (Dass et al., 2024; Vistorte et al., 2024), cultural insensitivity (Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024), depersonalization (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020), and the digital divide (Mariyono et al., 2024; Siminto et al., 2023).

3.3 Comparative Analysis: Gurukul Principles and AI Integration

The comparative analysis revealed both synergies and tensions between Gurukul principles and the integration of generative AI in education. Table 3 presents a summary of the comparative analysis.

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Gurukul Principles and AI Integration

Dimension	Gurukul Principles	AI Integration	Synergies	Cons
Teacher-Student Relationship	Personalized attention, mentorship, intimate learning environment	Scalable, automated, AI as tutor or assistant	AI can support personalized learning at scale; AI can augment teacher capabilities	AI lacks human relationships, empathy, and moral guidance
Holistic Development	Intellectual, moral, physical, spiritual, social-emotional development	Primarily cognitive focus, limited attention to moral/spiritual dimensions	AI can support social-emotional learning; AI can assist with physical development	AI struggles to support spiritual and moral development
Experiential Learning	Learning by doing, integration of knowledge and life	Simulation, virtual environments, limited practical engagement	AI can create realistic simulations; AI can connect learning to real-world contexts	AI cannot fully replicate authentic experiences
Value-Based Education	Dharma, ethical development, character formation	Potential for value transmission through content	AI can expose students to diverse perspectives	AI cannot model character or provide moral guidance
Harmony with Nature	Connection with nature, sustainability	Digital nature, resource intensive	AI can support environmental education	AI may disconnect students from nature

Source: Author's compilation from comparative analysis

3.4 Synergies

The analysis confirmed several significant synergies between Gurukul principles and AI integration. First, the Gurukul emphasis on individualized instruction aligns with AI's capacity for personalized learning (Lampou, 2023; Tambuskar, 2022). Second, both traditions recognize the importance of developing multiple dimensions of human existence (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020). Third, the Gurukul emphasis on dialogue and discussion resonates with AI technologies designed to facilitate conversation and interaction (Mercer, 2019; Zhang, 2023). Fourth, AI's capacity for simulation and virtual environments can support experiential and practical learning, consistent with Gurukul principles (Chen & Chang, 2024; Rahman et al., 2023). Fifth, AI systems can be designed to incorporate diverse cultural content and perspectives, supporting the Gurukul emphasis on cultural rootedness and value transmission (Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024).

3.5 Cons

The analysis also confirmed several important tensions between Gurukul principles and AI integration. First, the Gurukul system was fundamentally about human relationships, while AI systems are inherently non-human (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020). Second, the Gurukul emphasis on spiritual development is difficult to translate into AI systems (Tan, 2020; Yuryk et al., 2023). Third, the transmission of values requires human modeling and relationships that AI cannot replicate (Noddings, 2018; Biesta, 2015). Fourth, the Gurukul system was embedded in community life, while AI often individualizes learning (Adhikari, 2024; Kumar & Mishra, 2024). Fifth, the Gurukul emphasis on harmony with nature is at odds with the digital nature of AI (Basham, 1954; Majumdar, 2003).

3.7 Case Studies

The analysis of case studies revealed several examples of how Gurukul principles are being integrated with AI in contemporary educational contexts. Table 4 presents a summary of the case study analysis.

3.8 Implementation Strategies

The framework suggests four implementation strategies:

1. **Teacher Training**: Educators need training in AI literacy and the effective integration of AI tools in culturally responsive ways (Sanusi & Olaleye, 2022; Williams & Ingleby, 2024).
2. **Community Engagement**: The development and deployment of AI in education should involve community stakeholders, including students, families, and cultural experts (Adhikari, 2024; Shanwal, 2023).
3. **Ethical Guidelines**: Clear ethical guidelines should be established for the use of AI in education, addressing issues such as bias, privacy, and cultural sensitivity (Mutawa, 2024; Wei & Niemi, 2023).
4. **Policy Support**: Policies should support the integration of AI in ways that align with the principles of holistic, value-based, and culturally responsive education (Government of India, 2020; Kulal, 2024).

4. Discussion

4.1 Humanism and AI

The humanistic perspective emphasizes the centrality of human dignity, agency, and development in education (Kozub et al., 2025; Tan, 2020). From a humanistic perspective, AI should be designed and used in ways that enhance human potential rather than replace or diminish it (Benedikter, 2023; Cope et al., 2020). Several principles of humanistic AI design can be identified: human-centered design, enhancement rather than replacement, support for human agency, and support for meaningful human relationships (Van Berkel et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2024; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2023; Williams & Ingleby, 2024).

4.2 Cultural Sensitivity and Ethical AI

The development of ethical, culturally sensitive AI requires attention to cultural representation, algorithmic fairness, cultural adaptation, multilingual support, and community participation (Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024; Bacanin et al., 2026; Vistorte et al., 2024; Mariyono et al., 2024; Salas-Pilco & Yang, 2022; Carter & Schmor, 2022; Cheng & Liang, 2023; Mutawa, 2024).

4.3 Dialogical Learning and AI

The Gurukul emphasis on dialogue and interaction has significant implications for AI design, including dialogical AI that engages in meaningful conversation, Socratic questioning approaches, collaborative knowledge construction, and reflective practice (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020; Tan, 2020; Zhang, 2023; James Young, 2024; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2023; Wang et al., 2024).

4.4 Value-Based Education and AI

The integration of value-based education with AI presents both opportunities and challenges, including value integration, character education, ethical literacy, and moral modeling (Matei et al., 2024; Wei & Niemi, 2023; Tan, 2020; Yuryk et al., 2023; Loftus & Madden, 2020; Zammit, 2021; Kozub et al., 2025).

4.5 Integration of Ancient Wisdom and Modern Technology

The integration of ancient wisdom and modern technology requires respect for traditions, interpretation and adaptation of ancient principles, dialogue between traditions, and creativity and innovation (Adhikari, 2024; Shanwal, 2023; Kumar & Mishra, 2024; Yogi, 2011; Dwi & Nur Alif Hd, 2024; Franco, 2021; Kozub et al., 2025; Tan, 2020).

4.6 Implications for Policy

The findings of this study have several implications for educational policy. First, policies should support the integration of indigenous knowledge systems, including Gurukul principles, into mainstream education through curriculum development, teacher education, and institutional support (Government of India, 2020; Jadon et al., 2025). Second, policies should establish clear ethical guidelines for the use of AI in education, addressing issues of bias, privacy, cultural sensitivity, and algorithmic transparency (Mutawa, 2024; Wei & Niemi, 2023). Third, policies should address the digital divide to ensure that all students have access to AI-enabled educational opportunities (Mariyono et al., 2024; Siminto et al., 2023). Fourth, policies should support comprehensive teacher professional

development in AI literacy, culturally responsive teaching, and the integration of AI tools in pedagogy (Cheng & Liang, 2023; Ma & Lei, 2024). Fifth, policies should support research on the integration of indigenous knowledge systems with AI in education (Kulal, 2024; Tilak, 2021).

4.7 Implications for Practice

The findings also have practical implications for educators and educational institutions. First, educators should view AI as a tool to augment their capabilities and support student learning, not as a replacement for human teaching (Kozub et al., 2025; Rahiman & Kodikal, 2023). Second, the selection and use of AI technologies should be guided by attention to cultural responsiveness (Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024). Third, AI should be integrated in ways that support holistic development (Kumar & Mishra, 2024; Singh, 2015). Fourth, AI tools should be selected and used to support dialogue, interaction, and collaborative learning (Mercer, 2019; Zhang, 2023). Fifth, the use of AI in education should involve community stakeholders (Adhikari, 2024; Shanwal, 2023).

5. Conclusion

This study has examined the philosophical foundations of integrating Gurukul principles with generative AI in multicultural education. The analysis has revealed both significant synergies and important tensions between ancient Indian educational traditions and contemporary AI technologies.

5.1 Key Synergies Identified:

1. **Personalized Learning**: The Gurukul emphasis on individualized instruction aligns with AI's capacity for personalized learning (Lampou, 2023; Tambuskar, 2022).
2. **Holistic Development**: Both traditions recognize the importance of developing multiple dimensions of human existence (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020).
3. **Dialogue and Interaction**: The Gurukul emphasis on dialogue and discussion resonates with AI technologies designed to facilitate conversation and interaction (Mercer, 2019; Zhang, 2023).
4. **Experiential Learning**: AI's capacity for simulation and virtual environments can support experiential learning consistent with Gurukul principles (Chen & Chang, 2024; Rahman et al., 2023).
5. **Cultural Rootedness**: AI systems can be designed to preserve and enhance cultural diversity, aligning with the Gurukul emphasis on cultural rootedness (Chakma, 2024; Chima et al., 2024).

5.2 Key Tensions Identified:

1. **Human Relationships**: The Gurukul system was fundamentally about human relationships, while AI systems are inherently non-human (Kozub et al., 2025; Loftus & Madden, 2020).
2. **Spiritual Development**: The Gurukul emphasis on spiritual development is difficult to translate into AI systems (Tan, 2020; Yuryk et al., 2023).
3. **Value Transmission**: The transmission of values requires human modeling and relationships that AI cannot replicate (Noddings, 2018; Biesta, 2015).
4. **Community**: The Gurukul system was embedded in community life, while AI often individualizes learning (Adhikari, 2024; Kumar & Mishra, 2024).
5. **Nature Connection**: The Gurukul emphasis on harmony with nature is at odds with the digital nature of AI (Basham, 1954; Majumdar, 2003).

The future of education lies not in choosing between tradition and innovation but in weaving the two together to create learning ecosystems that are both technologically advanced and culturally grounded. The integration of Gurukul principles with generative AI offers a promising path forward, one that honors the wisdom of the past while embracing the possibilities of the future.

This integration is not without its challenges. The fundamental tensions between ancient educational traditions and modern technologies require thoughtful navigation and creative problem-solving. However, the potential benefits, more personalized, holistic, culturally responsive, and ethically grounded education, make this endeavor worthwhile.

As generative AI continues to reshape education, the principles of the Gurukul system offer valuable guidance for ensuring that these technologies serve human development in all its dimensions. By grounding AI in the philosophical foundations of Indian education, the emphasis on dialogue, holistic development, cultural rootedness, value-based education, and harmony with nature, we can create AI-enabled learning ecosystems that are inclusive, humane, and culturally grounded.

The integration of Gurukul principles with generative AI in multicultural education represents a significant opportunity to create more inclusive, humane, and effective educational systems. This integration requires not only technological innovation but also philosophical reflection, policy development, teacher professional development, and community engagement. By working together across disciplines and cultures, we can realize the vision of an AI-enabled education that is both advanced and ancient, both innovative and grounded, both technological and human.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
ORCID iD:
Ashok Kumar Yadav: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7604-735X
Abhishek Kumar Mishra: https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5396-6789
Suraj Gupta: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-9298-569X
Vimal Singh: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3209-6057
Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers
Artificial Intelligence (AI) Use Disclosure: The authors declare that no artificial intelligence tools were used in the preparation of this manuscript.

References

[1] Adhikari, T. N. (2024). Reviving tradition: The modern evolution of the Gurukul education system. *Creative Vision*, 3(1), 52–71.
[2] Aggarwal, J. C. (2004). *Development and planning of modern education*. Vikas Publishing.
[3] Alam, A. (2022). Employing adaptive learning and intelligent tutoring robots for virtual classrooms and smart campuses: Reforming education in the age of artificial intelligence. In *Lecture notes in electrical engineering* (Vol. 914, pp. 395–406). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-2980-9_32
[4] Altekar, A. S. (1944). *Education in ancient India*. BHU Press.
[5] Basham, A. L. (1954). *The wonder that was India*. Sidgwick and Jackson.
[6] Cheng, Y., & Liang, Y. S. (2023). The development of artificial intelligence in career initiation education and implications for China. *European Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning*, 2(4), 4–10. https://doi.org/10.24018/ejai.2023.2.4.32
[7] Dewey, J. (1916). *Democracy and education: An introduction to the philosophy of education*. Macmillan.
[8] Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Continuum.
[9] Government of India, Ministry of Education. (2020). *National Education Policy 2020*. https://www.education.gov.in/nep2020
[10] Gupta, S., Shukla, P., & Sharma, M. (2025). From happiness curriculum to skill development: A critical evaluation of Delhi's NEEEV programme. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(3), 12.
[11] Gupta, S., Shukla, P., Singh, V., Sonwani, S., & Dixit, M. (2025). A review of the evolution of educational frameworks: Pedagogy 1.0 to 7.0. *International Journal for Research Trends and Innovation*, 10(9), b119-b137.
[12] Kozub, H., Kozub, V., Kozlovets, M., Shcherbakova, N., & Osmachko, O. (2025). Philosophical approach to understanding artificial intelligence in pedagogical practice. *International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion*, 7(1), 611–626. https://doi.org/10.63931/ijchr.v7i1.150
[13] Kumar, S., & Mishra, R. (2024). Gurukul system of learning – Past and future of education system. *ResearchGate*.
[14] Mukherjee, A. (2011). *Ancient Indian education: Brahmanical and Buddhist*. Motilal Banarsidass Publishers.
[15] Mutawa, A. M. (2024). Enforcing the ethics of artificial intelligence in education. In *Impacts of generative AI on the future of research and education* (pp. 143–168). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-0884-4.ch007
[16] Pathak, R. P. (2013). *Philosophical and sociological perspectives of education*. Atlantic Publishers.
[17] Radhakrishnan, S. (Trans.). (1953). *The principal Upanishads*. HarperCollins.
[18] Rajput, D., Gupta, S., & Singh, V. (2026, May). Are digital resources meeting student needs? A study of e-resource satisfaction at CSJM University. *International Journal of Scientific Research Studies*, 3(5), 264-273.

[19] Renz, A., & Hilbig, R. (2020). Prerequisites for artificial intelligence in further education: Identification of drivers, barriers, and business models of educational technology companies. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1), Article 14. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-00193-3
[20] Sharma, R. N. (1996). *History of education in India*. Surjeet Publications.
[21] Sharma, V., & Gupta, N. (2021). Gurukul and modern education system in India: Holistic outlook. *ResearchGate*.
[22] Singh, R. (2015). History of India Vedic period. *International Research Journal of Commerce, Arts and Science*, 6(1), 273–280.
[23] Thapar, R. (2002). *Early India: From the origins to AD 1300*. University of California Press.